

### ③ 2-Proportion Test

\* when you want to compare two proportions from two different populations.

- ⑤  $H_0: p_1 = p_2$       ① Population 1:  
 $H_a: p_1 \begin{matrix} > \\ < \\ \neq \end{matrix} p_2$       ② Population 2:  
② Parameter ( $p_1$  &  $p_2$ )

- ④ Conditions:
- |                                 |                                 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| • Random Samp 1 ✓               | • Random Samp 2 ✓               |
| • $10n_1 < \text{Pop}_1$ ✓      | • $10n_2 < \text{Pop}_2$ ✓      |
| • $n_1 \hat{p}_1 \geq 10$       | • $n_2 \hat{p}_2 \geq 10$       |
| • $n_1 (1 - \hat{p}_1) \geq 10$ | • $n_2 (1 - \hat{p}_2) \geq 10$ |

⑥  $n_1$   $\hat{p}_1$  standardized = (statistic) - (parameter)  
 $n_2$   $\hat{p}_2$  value  $\frac{\text{SD of stat.}}{\text{SD of stat.}}$

Formula:

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}}$$

Formula Sheet : (\*)

$$\sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$$

$H_0: p_1 = p_2$  \*

(\*)  $H_a: p_1 < p_2$

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{50}{80} \quad \hat{p}_2 = \frac{59}{70}$$

p-value  $\approx .0014$

\* Since our p-value is less than 5%, we reject the  $H_0$ , which means the evidence suggests the  $(p_1)$  is less than the  $(p_2)$ .

↑ words

↑ words

### ③ 2-Sample T

① Pop<sub>1</sub> :  
 \* Pop<sub>2</sub> :

⑤  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$   
 $H_a : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$

② Param:  $(\mu_1 \& \mu_2)$

④ Conditions: • Random Samp<sub>1</sub> & Rand. Samp<sub>2</sub>  
 •  $10n_1 < \text{Pop}_1$  &  $10n_2 < \text{Pop}_2$   
 •  $n_1 \geq 30$  &  $n_2 \geq 30$

$n_1$   $\bar{x}_1$   $s_1$   
 $n_2$   $\bar{x}_2$   $s_2$

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

Formula Sheet

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}$$

Joe Smith recently claimed that the proportion of adult males in the U.S with at least two credit cards is different than the proportion of adult females in the U.S with at least two credit cards. He conducted a survey by randomly selecting 100 males and 90 females. Out of 100 males, 71 had at least two credit cards. Out of 90 females, 72 had at least two credit cards. Conduct an appropriate hypothesis test to see if you believe that the proportion of males is different from the proportion of females?

Pop<sub>M</sub> : All adult males in the U.S

Pop<sub>F</sub> : All adult females in the U.S

Param: ( $p_M$  &  $p_F$ ) proportion of adults with at least two credit cards.

$$H_0: p_M = p_F$$

$$* H_a: \underline{p_M} \neq \underline{p_F}$$

## Two Proportion Test

• Random Samp<sub>M</sub> & Random Samp<sub>F</sub>

•  $10n_M < \text{Pop}_M$  &  $10n_F < \text{Pop}_F$

•  $n_M \hat{p}_M \geq 10$  •  $n_F \hat{p}_F \geq 10$

$n_M(1-\hat{p}_M) \geq 10$  •  $n_F(1-\hat{p}_F) \geq 10$

$$n_M = 100$$

$$n_F = 90$$

$$\hat{p}_M = \frac{71}{100} \quad \hat{p}_F = \frac{72}{90}$$



samp Diff	Std. Error	Z-Stat	p-value
-0.09	.06269	-1.43	.1511

$$(\hat{p}_M - \hat{p}_F) * \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_M(1-\hat{p}_M)}{n_M} + \frac{\hat{p}_F(1-\hat{p}_F)}{n_F}}$$

Formula:

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_M - \hat{p}_F) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_M(1-\hat{p}_M)}{n_M} + \frac{\hat{p}_F(1-\hat{p}_F)}{n_F}}} \approx -1.43$$

\* Since our p-value is greater than 5%, we fail to reject  $H_0$  which means we did not have enough evidence to say that the proportion of adult males in the US with at least two CC's is different from the proportion of adult females in the US with at least

A student claims that the average G.P.A at Iowa State University is lower than the average G.P.A at Indiana State University. She goes to each college and randomly selects 60 students from each university. The average G.P.A for the 60 students at Iowa State was a 3.14 with a standard deviation of 0.32 and the average G.P.A at Indiana State was a 3.31 with a standard deviation of 0.18. Does the data support the students claim?

## Two Sample T

• Pop<sub>1</sub>: All students at Iowa St. University.

• Pop<sub>2</sub>: All students at Indiana St. University.

Param: ( $\mu_1$  &  $\mu_2$ ) Avg. GPA

Conditions: Random Samp. ✓ & Rand. Samp 2 ✓

$10n_1 < \text{Pop}_1$  ✓ &  $10n_2 < \text{Pop}_2$  ✓

$n_1 \geq 30$  ✓

$n_2 \geq 30$  ✓

$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$

$H_a: \mu_1 < \mu_2$

$n_1 = 60$

$\bar{x}_1 = 3.14$

$s_1 = .32$

$n_2 = 60$

$\bar{x}_2 = 3.31$

$s_2 = .18$

samp diff

std. Error

DF

T-Stat

-0.17

0.047399

118

-3.587

p-value  $\approx$  .0002

$$Z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} \approx -3.587$$

\* Since our p-value is less than 5% we reject the  $H_0$  which means the evidence suggests the mean G.P.A at Iowa St. university is lower than the mean G.P.A at Indiana St. University.



If someone believes the average temperature in a specific state is over  $76^{\circ}$  and they take a sample of 50 days and record the temperatures for that state. The average temperature for the 50 days is  $80^{\circ}$ . They conduct an appropriate hypothesis test and discover the p-value is equal to 0.04. Explain in detail what the p-value is actually saying in the context of this problem.

\* There is a 4% chance we would get a sample mean of  $80^{\circ}$  for 50 days if the actual average temp. in the state is  $76^{\circ}$ .

p-value = .04

$H_0: \mu = 76$

$H_a: \mu > 76$   $\leftarrow$

$n = 50$      $\bar{X} = 80$

	$H_0$ is TRUE	$H_0$ is False
<u>Reject <math>H_0</math></u>	Type I ERROR	✓
Fail to Reject $H_0$	✓	TYPE II ERROR

\*  $H_0$ : Do not make a profit

\*  $H_a$ : We will make a profit

- . Shows  $H_0$  is true (Do not buy a ticket)
- . Reject  $H_0$  (Buy Ticket)

\*  $H_0$ : (Lose \$2)  
If you buy a ticket  
you will lose \$2

$H_a$  Win Lotto

Type II  
Fail to reject  $H_0$   
Don't buy the ticket  
but would have won.

\* Type I  
Reject  $H_0$   
when True  
Lose \$2